Preface

When it comes to benchmarking the performance of your website, web analytics is critical. The industry that started in 1995 for webmasters, is now rapidly evolving so that it is almost a mainstream part of digital marketing. This whitepaper compares the different data collection techniques available, shows the competitive landscape for web analytics vendors and illustrates the major milestones of the industry over the past years.
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Once you have decided that you need to analyse your website visitor traffic, the next most important step, before evaluating a vendor, is to determine exactly which data it is you are going to analyse.

**Different Visitor Data Collection Methods**

By far the most common form (estimated as 99%+ of all accounts) of collecting web visitor data are Page Tags and Logfiles.

Page Tags refer to data collected by a visitor’s web browser, achieved by placing “beacon” code on each page of your site. Often it is simply a single snippet (tag) of code referencing a separate javascript file – hence the name. Some vendors also add multiple custom tags to set/collect further data. This type of technique is known as **client-side data collection**.

Logfiles refer to data collected by your web server, which is independent of a visitor’s browser. By default, all requests to a web server (pages, images, pdfs etc) are logged to a file – usually in plain text. This type of technique is known as **server-side data collection**.

Logfile analysis was historically the way to analyse website visitor behaviour. Web server logfiles are readily available, hence site owners simply purchased the software to analyse their logfiles. However page tagging has become very popular in recent years.

It is important to note that both techniques, when considered in isolation, have their limitations. Table 1 summarises the differences and shows that by combining both, the advantages of one counter the disadvantages of the other. This is known as a **HYBRID** method. That is, combining both web logs with page tags.

The main reason that page tag techniques are now flourishing, is that they allow analysis to be outsourced, commonly referred to as a “Hosted” solution. That is, the data is collected and processed away from your organisation, saving you (the website owner) the IT worries of configuring and maintaining your own software as well as the storing and archiving of collected data.

Whilst a Hosted solution may be your best option for business reasons, bear in mind most hosted solutions are based on page tags only. A common myth is that page tags are technically superior to other methods, but as Table 1 shows, that depends on what you are looking at. Only a hybrid solution can provide a complete analysis of your website visitor behaviour. Because of their complexities, most hybrid solutions are software based. However a small number of vendors can offer a hosted hybrid solution.

**Other data collection methods**

Note that although logfile analysis and page tagging are the most prolific ways to collect web visitor data, they are not the only methods. Network Data Collection devices or “packet sniffers” gather web traffic data from routers into ‘black box’ appliances. Possibly because of implementation complexities/cost, only a couple of vendors are known to use the NDC method.

Another technique is to use a web server API/Loadable Module (also known as a plugin, though not strictly correct). These are programs that extend the capabilities of the web server. For example, enhancing and/or extending the fields that are logged.

**Costs of Data Collection**

The price of hard disk space and bandwidth is now so cheap that some page tag vendors will collect data for you for free. These include Google Analytics, Microsoft adCenter Analytics and Yahoo IndexTools.

Of course there is a resource cost for you to consider in terms of implementation of these free tools – even if you chose a DIY route. Other paid-for page tag vendors charge an implementation fee plus data collection fees by volume i.e. X pageviews per month.

Using server-side web analytics tools to analyse logfiles liberates you from pageview fees. However, the true cost of ownership of running and managing your own licensed software also needs to be considered. For example, is a dedicated server required? Software upgrades, logfile maintenance, archiving etc. all need to be managed by your IT team and this cost should be included.
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## Table 1 – Methodology Pros and Cons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Tagging</th>
<th>Logfile Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Breaks through proxy and caching servers  
  - provides more accurate session tracking | • Historical data can be reprocessed easily |
| • Track client side events  
  - JavaScript, Flash, web 2.0 | • No Firewall issues to worry about |
| • Client-side capture of e-commerce data  
  - server-side access can be problematic | • Can track bandwidth and completed downloads  
  - also differentiate completed and partial downloads |
| • Visitor data can be collected/processed in near real-time | • Track search engine spiders/robots by default |
| • Program updates performed for you by the vendor | • Track mobile visitors by default |
| • Data storage and archiving performed for you by the vendor | **Disadvantages** |
| **Disadvantages** | **Disadvantages** |
| • Setup errors lead to data loss  
  - If you make a mistake with your tags, data is lost and you can not go back and re-analyse | • Proxy/caching inaccuracies  
  - If a page is cached, no record is logged on your web server |
| • Firewalls  
  - can mangle or restrict tags | • No event tracking (javascript, Flash, web v2.0)  
  - no Javascript, flash, web v2.0 tracking |
| • Cannot track bandwidth or completed downloads  
  - Tags are set when the page/file is requested not when the download is complete | • Program updates performed by your own team |
| • Cannot track search engine spiders  
  - robots ignore page tags | • Data storage and archiving performed by your own team |
Cookie Considerations

Cookies are small text messages that a web server transmits to a web browser so that it can keep track of the user's activity on a specific web site. The visitors' browser stores the cookie information on the hard drive so when the browser is closed and reopened at a later date, the cookie information is still available. These are known as persistent cookies. Cookies that only last a visitors' session are known as session cookies.

The main purpose of cookies is to anonymously identify users for later use – most often a visitor ID number. This can be used for example to determine how many first time or repeat visitors a site has received, how many times a visitor returns each period and what is the length of time between visits.

Web servers can also use cookie information to present custom web pages i.e. a returning visitor may be shown different content than a first time visitor. If you register or login to a service, other cookie information may be used to personalise the information e.g. Welcome back Brian.

There are two types of cookies: first-party and third-party. A first-party cookie is one created by the web site you are currently visiting. A third-party cookie is sent from a web site different from the one you are currently viewing. The idea is that the transfer of cookie information takes place behind the scenes without the user having to know/worry about it. However this does mean cookies have implications relevant to a user's privacy and anonymity on the Internet.

From a web analytics point of view, cookie information is very important. The general best practice consensus is that vendors should only set and process first-party cookies. The rationale is that many anti-spy programs and firewalls exist that will block third party cookies by default, therefore mangling the collected analytic data. The interpretation is that third-party cookies make behavioural information available to third parties, that the web visitor is either not aware of or not consented to i.e. infringing on privacy.

End-users are also becoming much more 'cookie savvy' and will often delete cookies manually or set their browser settings so as to reject third party cookies automatically. Recent studies have indicated that as many as 30% of users delete cookies within 30 days.

Cookie facts:

- Cookies are small text files, stored locally, that are associated with visited web site domains.
- Cookie information can be viewed by users of your computer, using Notepad or a text editor application.
- There are two types of cookies – first-party and third-party: A first-party cookie is one created by the web site domain that a visitor requests directly either by typing in the URL into their browser or following a link. A third-party cookie is one that operates in the background and is usually associated with advertisements or embedded content that is delivered by a third party domain not directly requested by the visitor.
- For first-party cookies, only the web site domain setting the cookie information can retrieve this data. This is a security feature built into all web browsers.
- For third-party cookies, the web site domain setting cookie can also list other domains allowed to view this information. The user is not involved in the transfer of third-party cookie information.
- Cookies are not malicious and can’t harm your computer. They can be deleted by the user at any time.
- Cookies are no larger than 4 kilobytes.
- A maximum of 50 cookies are allowed per domain for the latest versions of IE7 and Firefox 2. Other browsers may vary (Opera 9 currently has a limit of 30).
Table 2 – Competitive Landscape

Note: this is a working document. If you are vendor (or know of one), that isn’t on the list, simply send the details for inclusion.

Notes*:

- **Data Collection Methods:**

  **SS** – uses server-side collected data e.g. web server logfiles, though may also be web server API

  **CS** – uses client-side collected data e.g. page tags usually written in javascript in conjunction with a pixel gif. This can be a ‘tags into logs’ approach or an interaction between active collection servers and page tags to control and organise data collection on the fly (i.e. dynamic tags). May also be web server API

  **Hybrid** – combines server-side and client-side collected data to effectively augment/fortify data therefore reducing the inaccuracies of only using either/or method. For Hybrids, some vendors use page tagging to collect client-side data into cookies, which are then logged into the web server log files i.e. “cookie-fortified logs”. Other vendors use a web server plugin API to effectively do the same thing, but replace the logging capabilities of the web server (allows logs to be collected externally). Hence both techniques are simply labelled as Hybrid.

- **S/ware (S) and/or Hosted (H):** Can the client buy the software license and setup/run as they wish, or is it a hosted solution controlled by the vendor on a lease agreement (usually charged by volume i.e. page views per month). Network Data Collection (NDC) devices or “packet sniffers” are also listed here.

- **Confirmed by:** This is simply a knowledgeable person (vendor, client, forum user) that has confirmed the Data Collection Method.

- **Comments:** Comments added by Brian Clifton to augment data. Comments are not a feature list or sales pitch and are purely for information purposes. If you wish to add/change information, please email the author with the following considerations:
  
  - Comments are limited to 300 characters
  - No superlatives, no sales pitch, no pricing info
  - The author has the right to reject or amend comments
  - I am particularly interested to hear from UK/EU vendors that have achieved technology firsts

Thanks to all that posted responses at tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/webanalytics and from personal contacts.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>S/ware (S) and/or Hosted (H)</th>
<th>Confirmed by</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clickstream.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Rufus Evison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clicktracks.com</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>John Marshall</td>
<td>Windows only. Requires desktop application in addition, uses 3rd party cookies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coremetrics.com</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Frank Lombos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evisitanalyst.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>March 2002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>S,H</td>
<td>Adam Hulme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fireclick.com</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Xavier Casanova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Analytics</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>S,H</td>
<td>Multi-platform, hybrid since Jun 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HitMatic.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Page tags only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM SurfAid Coremetrics</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Michael Horn, Michael Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IndexTools</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Jun 2000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Dennis R. Mortensen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InSite</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>S,H</td>
<td>Brandt Dainow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instadia.net</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Anders F. Jorgensen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellitracker.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Satin Dattani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mtracking.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Page tags only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor Name</td>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>Data Collection</td>
<td>S/ware (S) and/or Hosted (H)</td>
<td>Confirmed by</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omniture.com</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>-   ✓   -</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Matt Belkin</td>
<td>Page tags only. Uses 1st or 3rd party cookies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redeye.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>-   ✓   -</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Bertie Stevenson</td>
<td>Page tags only. Main technique is identifying visitors by a login where possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SageMetrics.com</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>✓   ✓   ✓   ✓</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Benoit Droulez</td>
<td>Hybrid from 2001. Possibility to merge external data sources (registration, sales, etc.) with web traffic. Can use 1st or 3rd party cookies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawmill.co.uk</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>✓   -   -</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Les Ferrington</td>
<td>Logfile analysis only. Multi-platform, multi-logfile - not just web analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site-intelligence.co.uk</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>✓   ✓   -</td>
<td></td>
<td>David Pool Guy Evans</td>
<td>Uses 1st party cookies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speed-trap.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Dec 1999</td>
<td>-   ✓   -</td>
<td>S,H</td>
<td>Malcolm Duckett</td>
<td>Uses 'active' page tags (javascript or java) i.e. collection server conducts a dialog with the page tags which sends the data back. Has OEM (white label) solutions. Can integrate with other JDBC sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeaLeaf</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>✓   ✓   ✓   ✓</td>
<td>NDC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sniffs all input at the TCP/IP level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WebAbacus.co.uk</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>✓   ✓   ✓   ✓</td>
<td>S,H</td>
<td>Ian Thomas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webtraffiq.com</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>✓   ✓   ✓   ✓</td>
<td>(S),H</td>
<td>Marcos Richardson</td>
<td>Software/hybrid can be provided as bespoke solution. Use ROLAP for multi dimensional analysis. Also integrates with ODBC data sources. Hosted is page tags only. Uses 1st party cookies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiti.com</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-   ✓   -</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Benoit Arson</td>
<td>Page tags only. Uses 1st party and 3rd party cookies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vendor Timeline of Technology Firsts

Throughout the past decade, vendors have battled it out to develop additional features. This ‘feature war’ was the main differentiator for vendors. However the industry has matured enough to provide a great deal of feature parity between vendors. Major features such as geo-location lookup, cross data segmentation, multi-line trending, Search Engine Marketing are now standard. The below chart highlights some of the key vendors that contributed to the development of these features.

- 1994: First commercial web analytics vendor appears as log analyser (I/PRO Corp)
- 1995: First page tag vendor appears (sitetstats): WebTraffiq.com
- 1997: First vendor with drill-down and ad-hoc analysis (NetTacker.com)
- 1999: First vendor to use predictive caching to accurately predict what paths users are likely to follow (Fireclick.com)
- 1999: First vendor to use open database (Oracle/SQL Server) allowing integration of web analytics with other business reporting (NetTacker.com)
- 2000: First vendor to be able to track Flash events and streaming media (NedStat.com)
- 2001: First integrated web analytics and email marketing program (ManticoreTechnology.com)
- 2001: First at being able to track wireless web sites via PDA or mobile phone (websidestory.com)
- 2001: First site overlay feature where page metrics are displayed on top of the respective web pages (Fireclick.com)
- 2003: First vendor to integrate visitor data with web performance data i.e. client aborts, server response/load times etc. (Moniforce.com)
- 2003: First vendor to be able to import and integrate PPC cost/click data from Google Adwords and Overture (Urchin.com)
- 2005: First statistical system for detecting and documenting pay-per-click fraud (Clicklab.com)
- 2005: First at being able to track wireless web sites via PDA or mobile phone (websidestory.com)
- 2005: First integrated web analytics and email marketing program (ManticoreTechnology.com)
- 2005: First integrated web analytics and email marketing program (ManticoreTechnology.com)
- 2005: Google Analytics launches 14-Nov with one-click integration with Adwords
Vendor Newswires & Significant Events

**2005**

- **March**
  - 28-Mar-2005: Francisco Partners buy WebTrends from NetIQ estimated 94m

- **May**
  - 03-May-2005: Google Acquire Urchin. Value estimated at $30m

- **June**

- **July**
  - 4th round of funding

- **Aug**
  - 07-Mar-2006: Unica Corp. acquires Sane Solutions (Nettracker) for estimated $28m

- **Oct**
  - 21-Aug-2006: J. L. Halsey acquires Clicktracks. Value estimated at $10m

- **Nov**
  - 14-Nov-2005: Google Analytics launches

- **Dec**
  - 31-Oct-2006: Moore-Wilson acquires WebtraffIQ. Value not disclosed

**2006**

- **Feb**
  - 06-Feb-2006: WebSideStory acquire Visual Sciences for $57m

- **March**
  - 07-Mar-2006: Unica Corp. acquires Sane Solutions (Nettracker) for estimated $28m

- **April**

- **May**
  - 21-Aug-2006: J. L. Halsey acquires Clicktracks. Value estimated at $10m

- **Aug**

- **Oct**
  - 04-Oct-2006: Mike Wilson acquires WebtraffIQ. Value not disclosed

- **Nov**
  - 18-Oct-2006: Google releases Web Site Optimiser beta a multivariate testing tool

**Vendor Newswires & Significant Events**

- WebSideStory acquires Atomz
- WebTrends acquires Accure Software Technology (Datauautics web analytics) Value not disclosed.
- I/PRO purchase Accure Software Technology (Datauautics web analytics) Value not disclosed.
- Yahoo partners with Marketing Management Analytics (MMA)
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**2007**

- **Jan 18, 2007:** Omniture acquires Instimind. Value not disclosed.
- **Feb 14, 2007:** Omniture Acquires behavioural targeting Company Touch Clarity. Value $1.35m (plus $3.5 million in stock).
- **Apr 19, 2007:** Experience acquires Hitwise. Value $240m.
- **May 19, 2007:** BlueFootway acquires RampMetrics. Value $1.25m (plus performance-based earn out over three year period).
- **Sep 25, 2007:** Omniture acquires Visual Sciences. Value $394m.
- **Oct 2007:** Omniture Acquires Omgativity. Value $65m.

**2008**

- **Apr 09, 2008:** Yahoo acquires IndexTools. Value undisclosed.
Further Recommended Reading

Other white papers in this series from Brian Clifton:

**Increasing Accuracy for Online Business Growth**
This 14 page document describes the accuracy limitations of on-site web analytics tools and how can you mitigate these and get comfortable with your data. Importantly, it is vendor agnostic. That is, with a best practice implementation of your web analytics tool, you can get very precise visitor data.

**How Search Engine Optimisation (SEO) works**
Updated for its 7th year in circulation with over 10,000 downloads, this 16 page document is an excellent primer for anyone wishing to understand the intricacies of SEO.

**Web Analytics Data Sources – this one!**

A list of recommended reading of books and whitepapers is available from [advanced-web-metrics.com/blog/recommended-reading](http://advanced-web-metrics.com/blog/recommended-reading)